

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,151	08/28/2001	Eric Chapoulaud	ORM-156CI	4585	
26875	7590 05/08/2006		EXAMINER		
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER			BUMGARNER, MELBA N		
441 VINE STE	_ - · · · —		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI	, OH 45202		3732		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/200	DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/941,1	51	CHAPOULAUD ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit	_			
		Melba Bu	mgamer	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev ication. tory period will apply and w il, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timil expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>15 February 20</u>	<u>06</u> .					
2a) <u></u> 	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Qu	<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 120-132 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>120-132</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	eauirement.					
,			- 4					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the I			•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to b	• /			•			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
_	_	r foreign priority un	dor 35 II S C	(d) or (f)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r loreign priority un	del 35 0.5.0. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).				
۵,۲	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the Internationa	·						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cert	fied copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	•				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/941,151

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 129-132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not describe the claimed limitation of "either approval for a custom orthodontic appliance for the patient or for revision." The detailed of steps of dependent claims do not appear to be described in the specification.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 120-132 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Recitation of "the provided images", "the person viewing the display", "the display of the images" lack sufficient antecedent basis. It is unclear what is meant by "the individual anatomy of a patient". It is unclear as to the number of practitioners and displays that are claimed in claim 130.

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 120-132 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chishti et al. (5,975,893). Chishti et al. discloses a method of providing a custom orthodontic appliance for repositioning teeth comprising providing for display on a computer screen, with interaction by an operator, data of images of the teeth of the patient in suggested post-treatment tooth positions and orientations based on three-dimensional information of the shapes of the teeth, receiving feedback information from a person, other than the operator, who has interactively viewed, and providing a custom orthodontic appliance configured to reposition teeth. Operators can be treating professional or user, and feedback information being input from operators such as changes to the suggested tooth positions and orientations. As changes are incorporated, it is redisplayed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 27, 2006, as there were no arguments filed February 15, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is still believed that the prior art shows the limitations of the claimed method. Applicant states on page 5 "[i]n summary, Chis[h]ti has displayed teeth before virtual repositioning, and again after virtual repositioning to final positions when all changes are complete" and on page 6 "Chis[h]ti fails to teach receiving

Art Unit: 3732

feedback information after the teeth are virtually repositioned in suggested post-treatment positions and displayed as so repositioned." However, on page 9 of the response of December 21, 2005 or page 9 of the response of September 23, 2005, Applicant said "[f]eedback information would be irrelevant after the final arrangement is reached." Therefore, Applicant's remark on same pages 9 of "Chishti's final tooth positions become applicant's suggested tooth positions" would not be true. Furthermore, Applicant's specification says "[t]he computer first calculates a suggested posttreatment setup of the teeth, which the orthodontist can modify and have recalculated until the final treatment positions of the teeth have been approved by the orthodontist." The specification and Applicant's arguments indicate that formerly claimed phrase "suggested tooth positions and orientations" and currently claimed phrase "suggested post-treatment positions and orientations" mean the same. Also the specification is clear throughout that the interactive process is between a computer and an operator. However, it is noted that cited Lehmann et al. (6,575,751) show an interactive method and network, wherein rather than data of information for operator to review, the operator is navigated through a step by step procedure for the final product. Also it is noted that Lehmann et al. teach direct consultation between two people, such as doctor and technician.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

melda Bungainer

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner